



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,351	01/14/2002	Daniel David Lang	2386		
75	90 05/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Daniel Lang			ELISCA, PIERRE E		
101 South Rainbow Blvd. #28-39 Las Vegas, NV 89145			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>3</i> ,			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/046,351	LANG, DANIEL DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pierre E. Elisca	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u>	ebruary 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	·	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ','	d				
and the second s						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/046,351 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment, filed on 02/08/2005.

2. Claim is pending.

Response to Amendment

3. The reply filed on 02/08/2005 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant fails to response to the last office action mailed on 11/08/2004. In that office action, Applicant is required to argue the prior art of record (Nel (U.S. Pat. No. 6,507,823) in view of Mellen et al (U.S. pat. No. 6,384,709). See., BELOW FOR PREVIOUS OFFICE ACTION mailed on 11/08/2005.

<u>See</u> 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Specification

4. The abstract of the specification is objected to because of the following informalities. Applicant is advised to provide a new abstract. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,351 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph because claim recites a "means of storing funds". A method claim is not required to have a "means plus function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Nel (U.S. Pat. No. 6,507,823)in view of Mellen et al (U.S. pat. No. 6,384,709).

As per claim 1, Nel substantially discloses a method/system of conducting a financial transaction between a purchaser and a vendor of a product. The method/system preferably includes any one or more of steps of verifying the availability of funds in the account (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a method of providing funds with attributes that are validated), comprising:

a point of sale method that accepts instruments of value and attributes such as geographical location and age are validated and verified (see., abstract, col 2, lines 12-14, specifically wherein it is stated that an access code to verify authorization of the

Art Unit: 3621

transaction, col 6, lines 9-20, col 7, lines 52-64). Nel further discloses attribute such as age see., col 9, lines 36-47, specifically wherein said selection valid procedure may include other check procedures which, for example, may prohibit a purchaser from performing a certain transaction if he or she is below a certain age;

a means of storing funds in an electronic accessible form (see., col 3, lines 9-31, col 7, lines 52-64);

an instrument that contains information to facilitate access to said funds (see., col 2, lines 12-14, lines 39-43, col 3, lines 9-50).

1. However, it is to be noted that Nel fails to explicitly disclose attribute such as geographical location is validated and verified. Mellen discloses an access control system which compares the geographic location information in a key with real time geographic location information from a geographic location detection unit associated with a mobile container (see., abstract, col 1, lines 30-42. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the financial transactions of Nel by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Mellen because this would verify unauthorized access based on the users location.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/046,351 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

May 05, 2005